

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/577,018 | 04/25/2006 | Shun Yoshiya | 522341-0350567 (YIP0048-U | 7525 |
| 909 PILL SBURY V | 7590 03/16/201 WINTHROP SHAW PI | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 105 | 00 | LOPEZ, RICARDO E. | | |
| MCLEAN, VA | 22102 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/16/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/577,018 | YOSHIYA, SHUN | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| RICARDO E. LOPEZ | 1794 | |

| | RICARDO E. LOPEZ | 1794 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TW | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensing the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply reserved by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rephy must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | , | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- ticed. | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | t before or on the date of fling a bla | tion of Annualill not | be entered | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| /D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The limitation for a step to be formed between the distal end section and the non- distal section in holes 1 and 2, was not previously presented and would require further consideration and/or search.